Experimenting with Community Structure

1. Detecting your first Community Structure

To detect communities, you can use the cdlib package. It also contains functions for evaluation and comparison of partitions. For details, check the documentation at https://cdlib.readthedocs.io/en/latest/

If for some reason you cannot install it, you can use the louvain_communities function from networkx, and NMI function from sklearn, although you will need to do some transformations.

- (a) Using networkx, load the airport dataset
- (b) Using cdlib, detect communities on this network using the louvain method. You have to use the algorithms.louvain method (and do from cdlib import algorithms before).
- (c) Visualize the communities found. In order to interpret them, you should draw each node at its geographical location, with a color per community.

(d) Vary the resolution parameter and observe changes in the community structure.

2. Comparing Partitions

(a) The provided airport data also contains information about the country of each airport, which can be interpreted as a *ground truth* partition of the network.

You can obtain it using nx.get_node_attributes(g,"country"). Transform this information
into a NodeClustering object of cdlib

(nc = NodeClustering(partition,graph, "GroundTruth"), with partition a list of list of nodes.

- (b) Compute the NMI and AMI (https://cdlib.readthedocs.io/en/latest/reference/evaluation.html) between the community structure and the partition in countries.
- (c) By exploring with a for loop the values of the resolution parameter for modularity, find the partition with the highest similarity to the partition in countries.
- (d) Compare visually the results, and think about the reason why we should not expect to find exactly communities corresponding to countries.

3. Understanding Modularity. To be sure that you understand correctly the modularity, write a function that compute it for a given partition and a given graph(you can start with a small graph, for instance the karate_club_graph of networkx. Make your code efficient by remembering that the score depends only on pairs of nodes inside communities. Check that you obtain the same results than using the cdlib function newman_girvan_modularity. (Be careful that if your network has weights, by default they are used by cdlib modularity)

4. Other methods

- (a) Run the kclique algorithm, with k=10.
- (b) Check the communities obtained (if you plot them, be careful that there can be one node belonging to several communities)
- (c) Identify the nodes belonging to several communities.
- (d) Run infomap and compare the communities found with the ones found with Louvain with default parameters, in terms of community sizes and in terms of similarity to the country ground truth.

5. Internal evaluation

- (a) Using countries as ground truth does not makes much sense. To evaluate which partition is the most interesting, we can evaluate them with internal scores. You can check https://cdlib.readthedocs.io/en/latest/reference/evaluation.html#internal-evaluation-fitness-scores to see the ones available in CDlib.
- (b) Check the **conductance** definition, and then compute it on your different solutions. Check the communities of highest and lowest conductance, and the average conductance for each partition
- (c) Do the same with the avg_transitivity.
- (d) Draw a scatterplot, in which the x axis corresponds to conductance score, y corresponds to avg_transitivity, and each point is a community. You can compare two methods, by using different colors for communities from different methods. Using these two scores together can be related to the original definition of communities: we want communities well separated from the rest of the graph, and internally well connected.

6. Going further: Intuitions on the SBM

I propose this exercise using only networkx and cdlib. You could do much more with SBM using graph-tool package (real SBM inference, degree-corrected SBM, Hierarchical SBM, etc.), but it requires a little bit more time to get used to at first, so I recommend it only if you're particularly interested in the topic.

- (a) Starting from a reasonable partition of the graph (i.e., countries or the result of the infomap method..) Compute the block matrix. You thus need to count the number of edges between and inside each community.
- (b) Using networkx stochastic_block_model method, generates a graph based on the computed block matrix
- (c) Using the network and node descriptors that you know, compare the properties of this generated graph with the properties of your original graph (and with a simple ER or configuration model). How is it different? How is it similar? Think about clustering coefficient, average distance, distribution of node centralities, degree distribution, internal transitivity, etc.
- (d) How do these properties change when you increase/decrease the number of blocks?