

The objective of those exercises is to familiarize yourself with the manipulation of a complex dataset, having multiple types of features. We will use python. I recommend to work with notebooks. You can work either by installing python on your computer, or using google colab. If you are not familiar with pandas library, here is a short introduction: [https://colab.research.google.com/github/Yqetzal/Teaching\\_notebooks/blob/main/Pandas\\_hands\\_on.ipynb](https://colab.research.google.com/github/Yqetzal/Teaching_notebooks/blob/main/Pandas_hands_on.ipynb)

## 1 Fundamentals

### 1. Loading the data

- (a) Download the dataset found on the class website.
- (b) Using pandas, load the `movies_metadata.csv` file and check its content
- (c) Discuss in small groups about the nature of each feature.

### 2. Cleaning the data

- (a) Using `df.info()`, check the type that pandas assigned automatically to each column.
- (b) It appears clearly that some columns have not been converted to the expected numerical type. Try to force conversion using `pd.to_numeric`. An error should occur. This is because the data is unclean (welcome to the real world :). You can use the option `errors="coerce"` to ignore those errors (nb.: you'll certainly introduce new errors doing so, but let's start with a *quick and dirty* approach)
- (c) Compute the classic descriptors of the `budget` column using pandas' `describe` function. What do you observe about the percentiles? Keeping false values will bias future analysis, replace them with `np.nan` (e.g., using `replace` function.)
- (d) Using a plotting library (easiest: seaborn, interactive: plotly...), plot the distribution of budget. What do you think of this distribution? Try using logarithmic sized bins (e.g., using `log_scale=True` in seaborn, or defining your own bins with `np.logspace`). Find the plot that in your opinion better explain the data and keep it for discussion.
- (e) Do the same with other numerical values. Which one are, visually, following a bell curved, and which one aren't?

### 3. Dispersion, Correlation

- (a) For the following questions, we will focus on the revenue, runtime, vote\_average and vote\_count variables. It might be easier to create a new dataframe with only those variables. You can use `df[['col1', 'col2']]`. Keep only lines in which all values are not NaN.
- (b) Compute the variance, the standard deviation and the mean average deviation (you can use, e.g., `mad()` function from pandas) for the variables. Note the differences between mad and std.
- (c) Compute the covariance matrix, e.g., with `cov` function from pandas. Check the relation with the variance. Can you say something about the other values in this matrix?
- (d) Compute the correlation coefficient between those variables, for instance using the `corr` function from pandas. By default, it uses the Pearson correlation coefficient. Check how it is computed from the covariance matrix. Interpret those coefficients.

- (e) Remember that the assumption made when computing Pearson correlation is that the relation between the two variables is linear. Use `sns.pairplot` to have a look at the relation between those variables.
- (f) Check the documentation of the `df.corr` function to check how to compute the Spearman correlation. Compare the results.
- (g) What do you think of those correlations ?

## 2 Advanced

### 4. Statistical tests

- (a) To know if a variable follows or not a given distribution, the best is to use a *statistical test*. The `runtime` is a reasonable candidate to follow a normal distribution: it looks somewhat bell-shaped, and it makes sense intuitively that there is a *typical* movie duration. The Shapiro-Wilk test is a classic method to check normality for a variable. Check the Wikipedia page to see how to interpret it, then see how to run it in python ( `scipy.stats.shapiro` ).
- (b) Evaluate if the variable follows a normal distribution. The more data there is, the hardest to follow exactly a theoretical distribution, so try with subsets of different sizes
- (c) Compare visually the distribution with a proper normal distribution with the same mean and std (you can use `np.random.normal` ). Observe the various ways in which the variable differ from a theoretical normal law.

### 5. Normalization

- (a) Using sklearn preprocessing tools, apply Rescaling(Normalization), ( `MinMaxScaler` ), and Standardization ( `StandardScaler` ). You can use `fit_predict` , and transform the result into a dataframe using `pd.DataFrame(res,columns=previous.columns)` , with `res` the result of the transformation and `previous` the original dataframe.
- (b) Compute the covariance matrix and the correlation matrix for both of them. Plot the correlation between the variables. What do you observe compared with before the rescaling?
- (c) Check the formula of the standardization, and think about what it does when the variable distribution is very different from a normal distribution, for instance a power law?

## 3 Going Further

- 6. Design an experiment to show how non-linear relations can lead to unexpected results with Pearson Correlation Coefficient, while being correctly captured with Spearman's.
- 7. Design another experiment in which you set a clear correlation between the two variables, but in which both tests find no strong correlation.
- 8. Have a look at a real, rich dataset, for instance that one: <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/benoit72/uk-accidents-10-years-history-with-many-variables>, characterize the variables, how you would encode them, the fraction of missing values, etc.