COMMUNITY DETECTION (GRAPH CLUSTERING)

COMMUNITY DETECTION

- Community detection is equivalent to "clustering" in unstructured data
- Clustering: unsupervised machine learning
 - Find groups of elements that are similar to each other
 - People based on DNA, apartments based on characteristics, etc.
 - Hundreds of methods published since 1950 (k-means)
 - Problem: what does "similar to each other" means ?

COMMUNITY DETECTION



COMMUNITY DETECTION



• Community detection:

- Find groups of nodes that are:
 - Strongly connected to each other
 - Weakly connected to the rest of the network
 - Ideal form: each community is I)A clique, 2) A separate connected component
- No formal definition
- Hundreds of methods published since 2003

WHY COMMUNITY DETECTION ?

- One of the key properties of complex networks was
 - High clustering coefficient
 - (friends of my friends are my friends)
- Different from random networks. How to explain it ?
 - Watts strogatz (spatial structure?)
- => In real networks, presence of dense groups: communities
 - Small, dense (random) networks have high density.
 - Large networks could be interpreted as aggregation of smaller, denser networks, with much fewer edges between them

COMMUNITY STRUCTURE IN REAL GRAPHS

 If you plot the graph of your Facebook/linked-in contacts, it looks like this



COMMUNITY STRUCTURE IN REAL GRAPHS

• Connections in the brain ?



COMMUNITY STRUCTURE IN REAL GRAPHS

• Phone call communications in Belgium ?



- I)Compute the betweenness of all edges
- 2)Remove the edge of highest betweenness
- 3)Repeat until all edges have been removed
 - Connected components are communities
- => It is called a *divisive* method
- =>What you obtain is a dendrogram
- How to cut this dendrogram at the best level ?



- Introduction of the Modularity
- The modularity is computed for a partition of a graph
 - (each node belongs to one and only one community)
- It compares :
 - The observed fraction of edges inside communities
 - To the **expected** fraction of edges inside communities in a random network

 $Q = rac{1}{(2m)} \sum_{vw} \left[A_{vw} - rac{k_v k_w}{(2m)}
ight] \delta(c_v,c_w)$

Original formulation

$$Q = rac{1}{(2m)} \left[\sum_{vw} \left[A_{vw} - rac{k_v k_w}{(2m)}
ight] \delta(c_v,c_w)$$

Sum over all pairs of nodes



I if in same community



I if there is an edge between them



Probability of an edge in a configuration model

- Modularity compares the observed network to a null model
 - Usually the configuration model
 - Multi-edges and loops are allowed
 - Other models could be used, such as ER random graphs.
- Natural extension to weighted/multi-edge networks

- Back to the method:
 - Create a dendrogram by removing edges
 - Cut the dendrogram at the best level using modularity
- =>In the end, your objective is... to optimize the Modularity, right ?
- Why not optimizing it directly !
 - But NP complete problem

LOUVAIN ALGORITHM

- Simple, greedy approach
 - Easy to implement
 - Fast
- Yields a hierarchical community structure
- Beat state of the art on all aspects (when introduced)
 - Speed
 - Max modularity obtained
 - Do not fall in some traps (see later)

LOUVAIN ALGORITHM



Blondel, Vincent D., et al. "Fast unfolding of communities in large networks." Journal of statistical mechanics: theory and experiment 2008.10 (2008): P10008.

- Modularity == Definition of good communities ?
- 2006-2008: series of articles [Fortunato,Lancicchinetti,Barthelemy]
 - Resolution limit of Modularity
- Let's see an example



Let's consider a ring of cliques Cliques are as dense as possible Single edge between them: =>As separated as possible

Any acceptable algorithm=>Each clique is a community



But with modularity:

Small graphs=> OK

Large graphs=> The max of modularity obtained by merging cliques

- Discovery that Modularity has a "favorite scale":
- For a graph of given **density** and **size**:
 - Communities cannot be smaller than a fraction of nodes
 - Communities cannot be larger than a fraction of nodes
- Modularity optimisation will never discover
 - Small communities in large networks
 - Large communities in small networks

Multi-resolution modularity



More a patch than a solution...

STOCHASTIC BLOCK MODELS

- Stochastic Block Models (SBM) are based on statistical models of networks
- They are in fact more general than usual communities.
- The model is:
 - Each node belongs to 1 and only 1 community
 - To each pair of communities, there is an associated density (probability of each edge to exist)

STOCHASTIC BLOCK MODELS

• SBM can represent different things:

 Associative SBM: density inside nodes of a same communities >> density of pairs belonging to different communities.









EVALUATION OF COMMUNITY STRUCTURE

INTRINSIC EVALUATION

- Partition quality function
 - Already defined: Modularity, graph compression, etc.
- Quality function for individual community
 - Internal Clustering Coefficient
 - , Conductance: $\frac{|E_{out}|}{|E_{out}| + |E_{in}|}$
 - Fraction of external edges

|E_{in}|, |E_{out}|: # of links to nodes inside (respectively, outside) the community

MEASURING PARTITION SIMILARITIES

- Synthetic or GT, we get:
 - Reference communities
 - Communities found by algorithms
- How to measure their similarity ?
 - $\bullet |\mathsf{NM}| => \mathsf{AM}|$
 - ARI
 -

MEASURING PARTITION SIMILARITIES

- NMI: Normalized Mutual Information
- Classic notion of Information Theory: Mutual Information
 - How much knowing one variable reduces uncertainty about the other
 - Or how much in common between the two variables

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y\in Y} \sum_{x\in X} p(x,y) \log\left(rac{p(x,y)}{p(x)\,p(y)}
ight)$$

- Normalized version: NMI
 - 0: independent, 1: identical
- Adjusted for chance: aNMI

$$AMI(U, V) = \frac{MI(U, V) - E\{MI(U, V)\}}{\max\{H(U), H(V)\} - E\{MI(U, V)\}}$$

H(X|Y)

I(X;Y)

H(Y

H(Y|X)

CORE-PERIPHERY





Core-periphery structure in networks adj



